

Collector Street Plan Public Comments - Public Workshop #3

Question 6: What do you like about the Recommended Collector Street Network?

Category	Date	Source	Input	Input Revlevance
Question 6	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	There is very little clear thought - not much confidence in the study.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Remove SW Drive arterial connector. Why is this needed? Why can't this drive go thru undeveloped property to East of Meadowmont.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Anticipates growth and tries to address it.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Increase traffic flow.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Increased access.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Like emphasis on George King Road.	George King Road
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Some intersections will be an improvement.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	I like the possibility of the transit stations.	Transit Stations
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Nothing.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	I like that you have taken a human-scale approach and include progressive planning measures.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	New Farrington Road and George King as major N-S connector.	Farrington and George
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	It does spread the traffic out somewhat.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Getting transit since no amount of additional roads (no matter how much it goes into neighborhoods streets) is going to handle the traffic.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	The idea of connecting neighborhoods and making it easier to get to desired locations without using major roads.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Cuts through our house. Requires moving away from the mosquito prone "waterfowl impoundment" swamp.	Celeste Circle
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Not sure because the man explaining the collectors did not let me ask my question but he answered all other people questions.	General Theme
	03/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Very little. Many recent Meadowmont residents maintain that there has been significant misrepresentation on this issue. People have spent significant sums of money and were told Meadowmont Lane would not change.	Meadowmont Lane
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Traffic alternatives that provide more than one way to get through the area are good if they don't destroy the character of the neighborhoods.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Connecting Highway 54 and 15/501. I like connector road rather than cul de sacs.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Very little.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Not much.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Nothing.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Nothing.	General Theme

Category	Date	Source	Input	Input Revlevance
Question 6	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	I like the connector street plan at the residential level. I strongly dislike the volume of traffic specified for Meadowmont Lane.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Nothing. You need to start over and consider a connector east of Meadowmont Lane.	Meadowmont Lane
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	New Farrington Road. New George King Road.	Farrington and George Road.
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Not much -save \$ and don't tax! Some limited collector street.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	I think it works fine.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Better access to other areas.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	It is a step toward reducing traffic on arterials. As long as one puts in sidewalks and bike paths, I am in favor of as many collector streets as possible.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Good for local residents.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Durham collectors, but not Meadowmont Lane.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Will help with traffic congestion.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Great!	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Nothing.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	I like the notion of planning ahead. Also, theoretically, the increased connectedness as a way to facilitate travel and reduce arterial flow, is appealing although I do not know that in reality it will be beneficial.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Good information.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	The grid style of the network.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	George King Road should be the collector between 15-501. It's undeveloped and houses can be planned further back unlike houses on Meadowmont Lane. Also save money because no bridge needed through wetlands.	George King Road
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Nothing.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Connectivity.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Nothing.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Using existing streets.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	The connectivity of Hwy 54 and Hwy 15-501 which will relieve traffic nightmare of Hwy 54 and Farrington Road intersection.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Nothing.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Nothing.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Nothing.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Safety.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Question 6. □Faster access to 15/501; opens more avenues to Durham	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Will be paid for by developers.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	I support location of SW Durham Drive.	SW Durham Drive
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	Unfortunately, I don't believe the plan will accomplish what it wants to accomplish.	General Theme
	3/21/06	Public Workshop #3	It defrays traffic from Farrington and directs it elsewhere.	General Theme