US 15-501 Corridor Transportation Master Plan

February 1994

prepared for City of Durham, Town of Chapel Hill, North Carolina Department of Transportation and Private Sector Participants

by Parsons Brinckerhoff Quade & Douglas, Inc.

in association with Greenways Incorporated MA Engineering Consultants, Inc. Penny Engineering Design Outlaw Engineering Support Services

Preface

This report presents the Transportation Master Plan for the US 15-501 corridor from Franklin Street in Chapel Hill to Chapel Hill Boulevard in Durham. The preparation of this plan was sponsored by the City of Durham, the Town of Chapel Hill, the North Carolina Department of Transportation, and several land owners and interest groups. The Transportation Master Plan was developed under the direction of a steering committee comprised of the sponsors. The steering committee recommends that this plan be adopted by the City of Durham, the Town of Chapel Hill and the North Carolina Department of Transportation and that it ultimately be incorporated in State and local Transportation Improvement Programs. The private transportation engineering firm of Parsons Brinckerhoff Quade & Douglas, Inc. assisted in the preparation of this plan.

The purpose of the study is to assess transportation impacts of future development in the US 15-501 corridor and to prepare comprehensive transportation plans for improvements to maintain a high quality of mobility.

The Transportation Master Plan is to provide the private sector, the City of Durham, the Town of Chapel Hill and the North Carolina Department of Transportation with the means for guiding transportation service to this developing area. The plan calls for lands to be reserved for future roadway and transit improvements, for the implementation of strategies to encourage more efficient use of existing and future transportation infrastructure, and for phasing of roadway improvements according to traffic demand.

This report is divided into two parts. The first offers a statement of the problem, briefly describes the study process and its findings, presents the Transportation Master Plan, and describes strategies for implementing the plan. The second part presents a more detailed, chronological description of the Master Plan study.

Table of Contents

		page
List	face le of Contents of Tables of Figures	ii iii vi vii
EXI	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	viii
Pa	rt I Master Plan	
1	STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND STUDY OBJECTIVE	1
	1.1 Study Area	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	1
	1.3 Study Objective and Scope	1 3
2	STUDY APPROACH AND FINDINGS	5
	2.1 Base Conditions	5
	2.2 Options Charrette	
	2.3 Master Plan Development	6 7
3	MASTER PLAN	9
	3.1 TDM and Transit Improvements	9
	3.2 Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	10
	3.3 Roadway Improvements	10
	3.3.1 Circulation Patterns	19
	3.3.2 Typical Sections	21
	3.3.3 Interchanges	24
	3.3.4 Other Study Area Intersections	25
	3.3.5 Construction and Right-of-Way Cost	25
4	IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY	26
	4.1 Endorsement	26
	4.2 Transportation Management Association	26
	4.3 Overlay Zone	26
	4.4 TDM and Transit Strategies	27
	4.4.1 TDM Implementation	27
	4.4.2 HOV Implementation	27
	4.4.3 Transit Implementation	28
	4.4.4 Bicycle/Pedestrian Facilities	29
	4.5 Roadway Improvements	29
	4.5.1 Refined Design and Environmental Documentation	32
	4.5.2 Short-Term Improvements	32
	4.5.3 Triggering Mechanisms for Roadway Improvements	32.

Part :	II	Technical Report	
5	BA	SE CONDITIONS	33
	5.1	Setting 5.1.1 Existing Traffic Conditions	33 33
		5.1.2 Future Land Use	33
		5.1.3 Environmental Features	35
		5.1.4 NCDOT TRANPLAN Model	35
		Steering Committee Meeting 1	36
	5.3	Forecast Travel Demand	37
		5.3.1 Roadway Network5.3.2 Assessment of Traffic Impact of	37
		Four Proposed New Developments	38
		5.3.3 Travel Forecasts and Their Capacity Requirements	38
	5.4	Highway-Only Alternative	41
	5.5	Steering Committee Meeting 2	41
	5.6	Public Discussion of Findings	41
6	OP	TIONS CHARRETTE	43
	6.1	Presentation	43
		6.1.1 Transportation Systems Management	43
		6.1.2 Congestion Management Strategies	44
		6.1.3 Transportation Demand Management	44 45
	62	6.1.4 Transit Discussion Group and Other Participant Observations	47
	0.2	6.2.1 Roadway Type	47
		6.2.2 Transportation Demand Management	47
		6.2.3 High Occupancy Vehicle Lanes	48
		6.2.4 Land Use and Transit	48
	6.3	Steering Committee Instructions to the Study Team	48
7	MA	ASTER PLAN DEVELOPMENT	50
	7.1	Level of Development and Transportation Demand	
		Management Sensitivity Study	50
		7.1.1 Effectiveness of Travel Reduction Strategies	50
		7.1.2 Sensitivity of Traffic Demand to Development	52
	7.0	Levels and Road Improvements	53 60
		Laurel Hill Drive Interchange Location Study Conclusions	61
	1.5	7.3.1 General Observations/Objectives	61
		7.3.2 Land Use/Roadway Type	61
		7.3.3 Alternative Solutions	62
		7.3.4 Needs and Assumptions	62
	7.4	Recommendations	63

	page
7.5 Steering Committee Meeting 3	64
7.5.1 Level of Development and Travel Demand	C A
Sensitivity Study	64
7.5.2 Master Plan Recommendations	64
7.5.3 Laurel Hill Drive Interchange Location Study	65 65
7.6 Triggering Mechanisms for Roadway Improvements	65
7.6.1 Short-Term Improvements 7.6.2 Long-Term Triggering Volumes	65
7.0.2 Long-Term Higgering Volumes 7.7 US 15-501 Progression of Improvements	69
7.7 OS 13-301 Hogression of Improvements 7.8 Master Plan and Adoption of Master Plan	69
7.8 Waster I fair and Adoption of Waster I fair	0)
GLOSSARY	
PENDICES	
TDM Strategies	A-1
Projected 2010 Lane Configurations for Erwin Road and	
Old Durham-Chapel Hill Road Intersections	B-1
Preliminary Cost Estimates	C-1
Transit Construct City Diagram	D-1

List of Tables

no.	title .	page
1	General Roadway Design Criteria	19
2	Land Use Assumptions	34
3	Land Use Comparison 2010 Average Daily Traffic	54
4	Traffic and Lane Needs Alternative 1 Development Level and Urban Freeway	55
5	Traffic and Lane Needs 70% Alternative 1 Development Level and Urban Freeway	56
6	Traffic and Lane Needs No Growth Development Level and Urban Freeway	57
7	Traffic and Lane Needs Alternative 1 Development Level and Expressway	58

List of Figures

no.	title	page
1	US 15-501 Corridor	2
2	1990 Vehicle Counts	3
3	US 15-501 Corridor Transportation Master Plan	11
4	Alternative 1 Land Use Year 2010 Average Daily Traffic	20
5	US 15-501 Improvement Typical Sections	22
6	Cross Street and Internal Circulation Typical Sections	24
7	Construction Cost Per Section	25
8	Roadway Construction Stages	30
9	Interchange Construction Stages	32
10	1995 Traffic With and Without Four Proposed Developments	39
11	Year 2010 US 15-501 Traffic Forecasts and Road Capacity	40
12	Roadway Needs for Alternative Land Use and Road Networks	59
13	US 15-501 Improvement Trigger	67
14	Hypothetical Improvement Timing	68